Information-Theoretic Security

EE 25N, Science of Information 11/08/2018

Ziv Goldfeld

Past Lectures - Communication Despite Noise

- Alice the <u>sender</u> and Bob the <u>receiver</u>
- Communicate a message as a strings of 0's and 1's (bits)
- Use longer bit strings (codewords) to protect message carrying bits
- Agree on a strategy beforehand:
 - 1) Set of codewords used
 - 2) Encoding for Alice
 - 3) Decoding for Bob
- \Rightarrow Error correcting codes for reliability



Communication under Eavesdropping

- Alice and bob wish to communicate
- Channel is noiseless
- But Eve taps their line
- They don't want Eve to decipher their chat
- Assumptions on **Eve**:
 - 1) She sees their transmitted bit string
 - 2) She knows their communication strategy (aka code)
 - 3) She has an extremely powerful computer

Q: Can Alice send Bob a secret message without Eve finding out?
A: Not without an additional recourse!



Resource 1: Pre-Eve Secret

Simple Case Study

• Alice sends Bob a bit $M \in \{0,1\}$

Bit probability: $P_M(0) = P_M(1) = \frac{1}{2}$

- They share a secret **Eve** has no access to
- \Rightarrow **Resource:** 1 secret bit $K \in \{0,1\}$

Formally:

Alice:
$$(M, K) \rightarrow C$$

Bob:
$$(C, K) \to \widehat{M}$$

Eve: Intercepts *C* and tries to figure out *M*



М

Simple Case Study – Modeling Eve

Q1: How to model **Eve**'s perception of *K*?

- Knows *K* is being used
- Doesn't know its value
- \Rightarrow **Eve** has a **guessing probability** over *K*'s values {0,1}:
- Doesn't have a clue:
- Knows something:

Q2: Which kind of secret should **Alice** and **Bob** favor?



Simple Case Study – Modeling Security

Q3: What does it mean to secure *M*?

- Pre-transmission: $P_M(0) = P_M(1) = \frac{1}{2}$
- Eve tries to recover M from C
- \Rightarrow *M* is secure if **after** seeing *C* **Eve**'s odds **don't improve**

<u>Goal</u>: Design functions for **Alice** and **Bob** such that:

- **Bob** can decode *M* from (*C*, *K*)
- Eve's best guess of *M* after seeing *C* is still 50/50



Simple Case Study – Binary Operations

- Assume *M* and *K* are both symmetric (50/50)
- Alice gets C via binary operation on (M, K)
- Possible binary operations:

OR			AN		
М	K	M + K	М	K	
0	0	0	0	0	
0	1	1	0	1	
1	0	1	1	0	
1	1	1	1	1	





XOR

M



Q4: Which binary operation is better for secrecy?

Simple Case Study – Reliability & Optimality

K

- \Rightarrow Best function for symmetric (*M*, *K*) is **XOR**:
- Eve's best guess after seeing C is 50/50
- Same odds like before seeing C
- \Rightarrow Information-theoretic security

Q4: Can Bob decode an XOR-based transmission?

Q5: Can OR or AND operations be used for communication only?

Symmetry is Crucial: Asymmetric keys can't achieve security with **XOR**

Simple Case Study – General Claim

One-Time Pad:

- *m* messages bits and *k* key bits
- All bits are equiprobable
- k^* = least k s.t. secure communication is possible

Shannon (1949):

Achieving reliability & information-theoretic security over the OTP is:

- 1) possible using exactly m key bits
- 2) impossible using less than m key bits

$$k^* = m$$



Resource 2: Noise

Noisy Channel

- In most real-world systems we don't exactly know the number of bit flips
- Common mode of operation is to model noise probabilistically



Noisy Channel

- In most real-world systems we don't exactly know the number of bit flips
- Common mode of operation is to model noise probabilistically





Wiretap Channel

Noisy communication channel with an eavesdropper



Information-Theoretic Security Research

Many interesting research questions:

- 1) Key agreement over noisy channels
- 2) Active Adversaries
 - Eve not only overhear the transmission but can influence the channel
 - Has a set of possible actions Alice and Bob know
 - They don't know which action is chosen ⇒ Ensure security versus all actions!
- 3) Covert Communication:
 - Communicate without Eve noticing
- 4) Many many many more...